

Giuseppe Vanella, MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Roberto Leone, MD<sup>1,2,2</sup>, Francesco Frigo, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Michiel Bronswijk, MD<sup>3,4</sup>, Roy van Wanroij, MD, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Yen-I Chen, MD<sup>6</sup>, Kenneth F Binmoeller, MD<sup>7</sup>, Manuel Perez-Miranda, MD, PhD<sup>8</sup>, Prabhleen Chahal, MD, FASGE, FACC<sup>9</sup>, Manol Jovani, MD<sup>10</sup>, Amy Tyberg, MD, FASGE<sup>11</sup>, Enrique Pérez-Cuadrado-Robles, MD<sup>12</sup>, Marc Barthet, MD<sup>13</sup>, Pierre Deprez, MD<sup>14</sup>, Michel Kahaleh, MD<sup>15</sup>, Douglas G Adler, MD, FASGE, FACC, AGAF<sup>16</sup>, Mouen Khashab, MD<sup>17</sup>, Anthony YB Teoh, MD<sup>18</sup>, Takao Itoi, MD, PhD, FASGE, FACC<sup>19</sup>, Sundeep Lakhtakia, MD<sup>20</sup>, Rastislav Kunda, MD, FASGE, FJGES<sup>21</sup>, Schalk Van der Merwe, MD, PhD<sup>22</sup> and Paolo Giorgio Arcidiacono, MD, FASGE<sup>1,2,2</sup>

1 IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy  
2 University of Turin, Italy  
3 University Hospitals Gasthuisberg, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium  
4 Imelda General Hospital, Borghaten, Belgium  
5 Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
6 McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada  
7 Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco, CA, USA  
8 Hospital Universitario Bor Borjega, Valladolid, Spain  
9 University of Texas Health, San Antonio, TX, USA  
10 Maimonides Medical Center, SUNY Downstate University, Brooklyn, New York, USA  
11 Hackensack Meridian Health, Rochelle Park, NJ, USA  
12 Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France  
13 Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Marseille, Marseille, France  
14 Cliniques universitaires St-Luc, Brussels, Belgium  
15 Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ, USA  
16 Advent Porter Hospital, Denver, CO, USA  
17 Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD, USA  
18 Hong Kong Sanatorium Hospital, Hong Kong  
19 Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo, Japan  
20 Asian Institute of Gastroenterology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India  
21 National University Health System, Singapore  
22 Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy

## INTRODUCTION

EUS-guided gastroenterostomy (EUS-GE) is increasingly used in the management of Gastric Outlet Obstruction. However, **significant variability exists in technical choices** which might account for **heterogeneous clinical outcomes**.

## AIM

The aim of this **Delphi methodology** was to address open questions and establish a consensus on best practices to gather expert opinions on key aspects of EUS-GE.

## METHODS

A panel of **25 international leading experts** in EUS-GE was invited to revise literature around the technique. A Delphi process was conducted over **3 rounds**, with each round involving **anonymous voting on 35 predefined statements**, using a **5-point Likert scale** (1: strongly disagree – 5: totally agree).

Responses were analysed through Medians [Interquartile Ranges], with **pre-defined thresholds for approval, revision or discard** of the statement.

Statements reaching final consensus were graded based on the strength of agreement, defined as the proportion of responses rated 4 or 5.

## RESULTS

Response rate was 88% in Round 1 and 100% in Round 2 and 3. Among 29 statements, 9 were approved at Round 1, 18 at Round 2 and 4 at Round 3, while 4 were ultimately rejected.

### STRONG AGREEMENT

- **Large-volume ascites** → **periprocedural drainage** + **prolonged antibiotics**
- **General anesthesia** preferred (OR Additional precautions e.g. preprocedural evacuations of gastric contents)
- **Fluoroscopy** must be available
- **Saline solution** recommended for jejunal injection
- **Electrocautery-enhanced Lumen Apposing Metal Stents, favoring 20 mm** over 15 mm
- **Free-hand LAMS** release with **pure cutting current** with high effect and power.
- Use of **finder needle** is not recommended routinely
- **Incremental deployment** for distal flange
- **Intrachannel release** for proximal flange
- **Boiling water sign**
- Endoscopists familiar with **bleedings** → **standard hemostatic techniques**
- Endoscopists familiar with **perforations**
- **Type 2, 3 and 4 perforations** → **decision-making with a surgeon**

### MODERATE AGREEMENT

- The use of **blue dye** is discretionary
- **“Assisted”** EUS-GE favored over endoscope- or needle-assisted jejunal instillation
- The **oro-jejunal tube** should typically **target the first jejunal loops**
- EUS-GE typically deployed between the gastric lumen and **the first jejunal loop beyond the Treitz ligament**
- Optimal window
  - The **shortest interluminal distance** (< 5-10 mm)
  - The **longest jejunal operative space** (> 30-35 mm)
- **«Contrast bypass confirmation»** as a sign of correct deployment
- **Liquid Diet** the **same day** / **Soft-solid diet** the **following day**

### REMOVED STATEMENTS

- **Mixing contrast agents within the distending solution** is not advisable
- «Fishbowl sign»
- WEST or EPASS as the most standardized techniques
- **LAMS dilation** left to the endoscopist's discretion

## CONCLUSIONS

- EUS-Gastroenterostomy is a **relatively new technique**, and significant **worldwide heterogeneity** exists on technical steps
- **Despite technical differences** (such as the preference of a jejunal catheter or a double-balloon catheter) **most EUS-GE experts agree on key technical principles**, providing valuable guidance on the **standardization** of EUS-GE in clinical practice.
- Conversely, certain topics showed limited or no agreement, identifying **future research priorities** in the field of EUS-GE.



## CONTACT INFORMATION

✉ vanella.giuseppe@hsr.it  
in Giuseppe Vanella

📧 Get notified about our EUS-GE Technical Review!